

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/875,555 | 06/05/2001 | Jorge Fernandes | 005641.P002X 8891 | | |
| 20350 | 7590 12/17/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| | D AND TOWNSENI RCADERO CENTER | FRANKLIN, JAM | FRANKLIN, JAMARA ALZAIDA | | |
| EIGHTH FLO | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| SAN FRANC | ISCO, CA 94111-38 | 2876 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 12/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary | | Application | No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|---------|--|--|--|
| | | 09/875,555 | | FERNANDES ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | 1 | | | |
| | | Jamara A. F | | 2876 | pr pr | | | |
| - 7 Period for F | he MAILING DATE of this communication a Reply | appears on the c | over sheet with the c | orrespondence ad | ldress | | | |
| THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the peri - If NO per - Failure to Any reply | TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION as of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. od for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re iod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state received by the Office later than three months after the main atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. 1.136(a). In no event, reply within the statutor od will apply and will e tute, cause the applica | however, may a reply be tim ry minimum of thirty (30) days xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONED | ely filed will be considered timel the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)□ R€ | esponsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | | |
| · · · · · · | | —— his action is non | ı-final. | | | | | |
| 3) <u></u> Sii | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | |
| clo | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition | of Claims | | | | • | | | |
| 4)⊠ CI | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ Cl | ☑ Claim(s) <u>20-23 and 32-41</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Cl | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-19 and 24-31</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| | aim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8)∏ Cl | aim(s) are subject to restriction and | d/or election req | uirement. | | | | | |
| Application | Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)∐ The | e specification is objected to by the Exami | iner. | | | • | | | |
| 10)∐ The | 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Ap | plicant may not request that any objection to the | he drawing(s) be | held in abeyance. See | 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| | placement drawing sheet(s) including the corre | • | | | • • | | | |
| 11)∐ The | e oath or declaration is objected to by the | Examiner. Note | the attached Office | Action or form P1 | ΓO-152. | | | |
| Priority und | er 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| | knowledgment is made of a claim for forei All b) Some * c) None of: | gn priority unde | r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) | -(d) or (f). | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2.[| ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume | | | on No | - | | | |
| 3.[| Copies of the certified copies of the pr | riority document | s have been receive | d in this National | Stage | | | |
| | application from the International Bure | eau (PCT Rule 1 | 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| * See | the attached detailed Office action for a li | ist of the certifie | d copies not receive | d. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | |
| `` | References Cited (PTO-892) | 4 | Interview Summary | PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) 🔲 Notice of | Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | ٠, | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | te | | | | |
| | on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 o(s)/Mail Date | | Notice of Informal Pa | atent Application (PTC |)-152) | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 26 is objected to because of the following informalities:

in claim 26, line 1, substitute "end" with --sensing area--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 14-19 and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kitai et al. (US 6,394,347) (hereinafter referred to as 'Kitai').

Kitai teaches an interface card and method for performing a transaction comprising:

presenting the interface card having at least one inductor (antenna coil 102) to write data to a reader device (reader/writer 122), wherein the inductor automatically detects electromagnetic signals of the reader device and, in response, the at least one inductor is activated to transfer the user-specific data to the reader device (col. 4, lines 35-50);

wherein the at least one inductor includes a first sensing area and the presenting of the interface card is by placing the first sensing area within a distance from the reader device to allow the first sensing area to detect the electromagnetic signals (col. 9, lines 37-56 and figure 9);

wherein the inductor includes a second sensing area to detect electromagnetic signals from the reader device and the method further includes placing the second sensing area within a distance from the reader device to allow the second sensing area to detect the electromagnetic signal and, in response, the at least one inductor is deactivated to stop the transferring of the user-specific data (col. 9, lines 37-56 and figure 9);

the method wherein the interface card comprises a processor (CPU 410) to activate the inductor to transfer user-specific data for a particular transaction to the reader device, in response to the first sensing area detecting the electromagnetic signals;

the method wherein the at least one inductor includes a liquid or semi-liquid substance;

the method wherein the liquid or semi-liquid substance includes ferrous-magnetic

particles;

the method further including the inductor supplying power to a power storage unit on the interface card; and

the method wherein the user-specific data is selected from a plurality of user-specific data for more than one transition type and only one transaction type of user-specific data is on the interface card at a time.

Application/Control Number: 09/875,555 Page 4

Art Unit: 2876

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-13 and 27-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitai in view of Halpern (US 6,173,897).

The teachings of Kitai have been discussed above.

Kitai lacks the teaching of transporting user-specific data from a personal data device to the interface card.

Halpern teaches a method for performing a transaction, the method comprising:

transporting user-specific data from a personal data device to an interface card having at least one inductor to write data (col. 5, lines 50-61).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized that allowing a user to input data into the card via a personal device would have been beneficial to the invention of Kitai for giving the user the choice of which data and how much data to store on the card. Therefore, it would have been obvious, at the time the invention was made, to modify the teachings of Kitai with the aforementioned teaching of Halpern to give the user flexibility when using the card.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 20-23 and 32-41 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/875,555 Page 5

Art Unit: 2876

specific data from the interface card.

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest either alone or in combination thereof, a method for performing a transaction such that withdrawing an interface card from a reader device, wherein, after a period of time power supplied to the memory unit is removed to erase the user-

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Ohta (US 4,818,853) teaches a data card with inductive signal transfer device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamara A. Franklin whose telephone number is (571) 272-2389. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2876

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jamara A. Franklin

Examiner Art Unit 2876

JAF

December 9, 2004

MICHAEL G. LEE PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800